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Title: HPV test as stand-alone follow-up test after conization for CIN2+; a national retrospective study

Introduction: The aim was to investigate the feasibility of high-risk human Papillomavirus(HPV) test as stand-alone follow-up test in women treated for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 or worse(CIN2+).

Material and methods: Retrospectively women with histologically verified CIN2+, who had a conization performed between January 1st 2013 and December 31st 2013, were included. Test results were obtained from Patobank for a 3 years follow-up period.

Results: 4364 women had conization performed in 2013, of which 240 (5.5\%) developed recurrent dysplasia in the follow-up period. The 240 cases were divided into 198/2231 cases without free margins ( $8.9 \%$ ) and $42 / 2133$ cases with free margins ( $2.0 \%$ ).

Post-conization follow-up of the cases without free margins showed HPV+ and/or cytology+ (defined as "Atypical Squamous Cells - cannot exclude High Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesions" or worse) vs. HPV+ alone in $37.6 \%$ and $32.1 \%$, and for the cases with free margins $26.8 \%$ and $23.2 \%$.

Using margin status and HPV test as follow-up test the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were $96.7 \%, 39.5 \%, 8.5 \%$ and $99.5 \%$ - and for combined testing (margin status, HPV and cytology) $97.9 \%, 37.7 \%, 8.4 \%$ and $99.7 \%$, respectively.

Only 1 case with recurrent severe disease (CIN3) was missed in the 2133 cases with free margins, with both HPV- and cytology- in follow-up.

Discussion and conclusions: Using margin status and HPV test as post-conization follow-up resulted in a marginally lower NPV but no increase in missed cases with recurrent severe disease in follow up. HPV-test as stand-alone follow-up test seems to be non-inferior to combined testing.

